AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS

This listing of claims replaces all prior versions and listing of claims in this application.

Listing of Claims:

Claims 1-25 (Canceled)

Claim 26. (Currently Amended) The method of claim [[25]] 88, wherein said determining said content of said at least one version to publish providing an administrative module step further comprises:

receiving order decisions from at least one staff person, wherein said order decisions that provide at least an arrangement for content of said at least one version of said submission for said at least one meeting or journal; and

determining contents of said at least one meeting or journal based on said order decisions.

Claim 27 (Canceled)

Claim 28. (Currently Amended) The method of claim [[25]] 88, wherein said submission includes data in the form of text, images, graphics, or multimedia.

Claim 29. (Currently Amended) The method of claim [[25]] 88, wherein when said submission includes correspondence, said correspondence includes having at least one of information about said an author, subject matter of said submission, date and time of said submission, proposed publication dates, proposed presentation dates, or proposed meetings or journals for inclusion.

Claim 30. (Currently Amended) The method of claim [[25]] 88, wherein said list of providing a chair module step further comprises enabling a chairperson to at least one of add, delete,

Application No. 09/501,169 Docket No.: 35672-164254

Amendment dated July 25, 2006 Reply to Office Action of January 25, 2006

change or select reviewers from the database reviewers is provided to said computer system by at

least one of said a plurality of clients.

Claim 31. (Currently Amended) The method of claim [[25]] 88, wherein said a computer

system automatically produces a said-list of reviewers from said database.

Claim 32. (Currently Amended) The method of claim [[25]] 88, wherein at least one of said

a plurality of clients produces said list of reviewers.

Claim 33. (Currently Amended) The method of claim [[25]] 88, wherein said list of

reviewers [[is]] are based on qualifying criteria, wherein said qualifying criteria includes at least one

of (i) a reviewer's area of specialty, (ii) a reviewer's prior review history, (iii) a reviewer's

professional associations, (iv) a reviewer's availability, or (v) said author's preference for or against

at least one reviewer.

Claim 34. (Currently Amended) The method of claim [[25]] 88, wherein said computer

system providing a submission module step requires authentication of identity from at least one of

said a plurality of clients.

Claim 35. (Currently Amended) The method of claim [[25]] 88, wherein said providing

access a submission step further comprises requiring at least one of identification or authentication.

3

Claim 36. (Currently Amended) The method of claim [[25]] 88, wherein said receiving at least one providing a review module step further comprises:

receiving a revision to said submission, wherein the author of said submission provided said revision based on said received at least one review; and

providing said revision to at least one reviewer for additional review.

Claim 37. (Currently Amended) The method of claim [[25]] <u>88</u>, wherein said presentation information includes providing a planning module step further comprises providing at least a date, time, and location of presentation for said submission.

Claim 38 (Canceled)

Claim 39. (*Currently Amended*) The method of claim [[25]] <u>88</u>, wherein said <u>further</u> <u>comprising providing a plurality of clients access said computer system to said modules</u> via at least one of the Internet, an extranet, or an intranet.

Claim 40 (Canceled)

Claim 41. (*Currently Amended*) The method of claim [[25]] <u>88</u>, wherein said reporting providing a review module step further comprises reporting acceptability information compiled from said <u>a</u> received at least one review.

Claim 42. (Currently Amended) The method of claim [[25]] 88, wherein information an identity about at least one of said author or said author and said at least one reviewer who agrees to review said submission are not provided to at least one of said a plurality of clients.

Claim 43 (Canceled)

Claim 44. (*Currently Amended*) The computer program product of claim [[43]] <u>89</u>, wherein said <u>first determining means comprises</u> <u>managing and reviewing software further causes the processor to carry out steps comprising:</u>

receiving means for enabling [[a]] the processor to receive order decisions from at least one staff person, wherein said order decisions provide at least an arrangement for said at least one version of said submission in at least one meeting or journal; and

determining means for enabling [[a]] the processor to determine contents of said at least one meeting or journal based on said order decisions.

Claim 45 (Canceled)

Claim 46. (Currently Amended) The computer program product of claim [[43]] 89, wherein said submission includes data in the form of text, images, graphics, or multimedia.

Claim 47. (Currently Amended) The computer program product of claim [[43]] 89, wherein when said submission includes correspondence, said correspondence includes including at least one of information about said an author, subject matter of said submission, date and time of said submission, proposed publication dates, proposed presentation dates, or proposed meetings or journals for inclusion.

Claim 48. (Currently Amended) The computer program product of claim [[43]] 89, wherein additions, deletions, changes, or selections to said list of reviewers [[is]] are provided to said computer system database by a chairperson via at least one of said a plurality of clients.

Claim 49. (*Currently Amended*) The computer program product of claim [[43]] <u>89</u>, wherein said a computer system <u>automatically produces said a list of reviewers to a chairperson from said database.</u>

Claim 50. (Currently Amended) The computer program product of claim [[43]] 89, wherein at least one of said a plurality of clients produces said a list of reviewers.

Claim 51. (Currently Amended) The computer program product of claim [[43]] 89, wherein said a list of reviewers is based on qualifying criteria, wherein said qualifying criteria includes at least one of (i) a reviewer's area of specialty, (ii) a reviewer's prior review history, (iii) a reviewer's, professional associations, (iv) a reviewer's availability, or (v) said author's preference for or against at least one reviewer.

Claim 52. (*Currently Amended*) The computer program product of claim [[43]] <u>89</u>, wherein said a computer system requires authentication of identity from at least one of said a plurality of clients.

Claim 53. (Currently Amended) The computer program product of claim [[43]] <u>89</u>, wherein said providing means a submission module requires at least one of identification or authentication.

Claim 54. (*Currently Amended*) The computer program product of claim [[43]] <u>89</u>, wherein said second receiving means providing a review module step further comprises:

receiving means for enabling [[a]] the processor to receive a revision to said submission, wherein the author of said submission provided said revision based on said a received at least one review; and

providing means for enabling [[a]] the processor to provide said revision to at least one reviewer for additional review.

Claim 55. (*Currently Amended*) The computer program product of claim [[43]] <u>89</u>, wherein said presentation information providing a planning module step further includes at least a date, time, and location of presentation for said submission.

Claim 56 (Canceled)

Claim 57. (*Currently Amended*) The computer program product of claim [[43]] <u>89</u>, wherein said a plurality of clients access said computer system modules via at least one of the Internet, an extranet, or an intranet.

Claim 58 (Canceled)

Claim 59. (*Currently Amended*) The computer program product of claim [[43]] <u>89</u>, wherein said first reporting means providing a review module further reports acceptability information compiled from at least one review.

Claim 60. (Currently Amended) The computer program product of claim [[43]] 89, wherein information about at least one of said a author or said a author and said at least one reviewer who agrees to review said submission are not provided to at least one of said a plurality of clients.

Claim 61 (Canceled)

Claim 62. (*Currently Amended*) The system of claim [[61]] <u>90</u>, wherein said first means for determining providing a submission module further comprises:

means for receiving order decisions from at least one staff person, wherein said order decisions provide at least an arrangement for content of said <u>a</u> at least one version of said submission in for said at least one meeting or journal; and

means for determining content of said at least one meeting or journal based on said order decisions.

Claim 63 (Canceled)

Claim 64. (Currently Amended) The system of claim [[61]] 90, wherein said submission includes data in the form of text, images, graphics, or multimedia.

Claim 65. (Currently Amended) The system of claim [[61]] 90, wherein when said submission includes correspondence, said correspondence that includes at least one of information about said an author, subject matter of said submission, date and time of said

submission, proposed publication dates, proposed presentation dates, or proposed meetings or journals for inclusion.

Claim 66. (Currently Amended) The system of claim [[61]] 90, wherein additions, deletions or selections from said a list of reviewers in is provided to said computer system database are provided by a chairperson by at least one of said a plurality of clients.

Claim 67. (Currently Amended) The system of claim [[61]] 90, wherein said a computer system automatically produces said a list of reviewers to a chairperson from said database.

Claim 68. (Currently Amended) The system of claim [[61]] 90, wherein at least one of said a plurality of clients produces said a list of reviewers.

Claim 69. (Currently Amended) The system of claim [[61]] 90, wherein said a list of reviewers is based on qualifying criteria, wherein said qualifying criteria includes at least one of (i) a reviewer's area of specialty, (ii) a reviewer's prior review history, (iii) a reviewer's professional associations, (iv) a reviewer's availability, or (v) said author's preference for or against at least one reviewer.

Claim 70. (Currently Amended) The system of claim [[61]] 90, wherein said a computer system requires authentication of identity from at least one of said a plurality of clients.

Claim 71. (Currently Amended) The system of claim [[61]] 90, wherein said providing means a submission step further requires at least one of identification or authentication.

Claim 72. (Currently Amended) The system of claim [[61]] 90, said second means for receiving providing a chair module step further comprises:

receiving means for enabling a processor to receive a revision to said submission, wherein the author of said submission provided said revision based on said a received at least one review; and

providing means for enabling a processor to provide said revision to at least one reviewer for additional review.

Claim 73. (Currently Amended) The system of claim [[61]] 90, wherein said presentation information includes at least a date, time, and location of presentation for said submission.

Claim 74 (Canceled)

Claim 75. (Currently Amended) The system of claim [[61]] 90, wherein said a plurality of clients access said computer system modules via at least one of the Internet, an extranet, or an intranet.

Claim 76 (Canceled)

Claim 77. (*Currently Amended*) The system of claim [[61]] <u>90</u>, wherein said first means for reporting providing a review module further reports acceptability information compiled from said <u>a</u> received at least one review.

Claim 78. (Currently Amended) The system of claim [[61]] 90, wherein information an identity about at least one of said an author or said an author and said at least one reviewer who agrees to review said submission are not provided to at least one of said a plurality of clients.

Claim 79. (Currently Amended) The method of claim [[25]] <u>88</u>, wherein information an identity of about said an author and said at least one reviewer who agrees to review said submission are not provided to at least one of said plurality of clients.

Claim 80. (Currently Amended) The method of claim [[25]] 88, wherein said providing access an administrative module step further comprises providing access to predetermined content of said a document when said submission includes said a document.

Claim 81. (Currently Amended) The method of claim [[25]] 88, further comprising publishing predetermined content from said database.

Claim 82. (Currently Amended) The computer program product of claim [[43]] 89, wherein information about said an author and said at least one reviewer who agrees to review said submission are not provided to at least one of said a plurality of clients.

Claim 83. (*Currently Amended*) The computer program product of claim [[43]] <u>89</u>, wherein said providing means an administrative module step further provides access to predetermined content of said a document when said submission includes said a document.

Claim 84. (*Currently Amended*) The computer program product of claim [[43]] <u>89</u>, further comprising means for wherein said managing and review software, when executed by the processor, further causes the processor to carry out steps including publishing predetermined content from said database.

Claim 85. (*Currently Amended*) The system of claim [[61]] <u>90</u>, wherein information about said <u>an</u> author and said at least one reviewer who agrees to review said submission are not provided to at least one of said plurality of clients.

Claim 86. (*Currently Amended*) The system of claim [[61]] <u>90</u>, wherein said means for providing <u>a submission module</u> further provides access to predetermined content of said <u>a</u> document when said submission includes said <u>a</u> document.

Claim 87. (Currently Amended) The system of claim [[61]] 90, further comprising means for wherein said managing and review software, when executed by the processor, further causes the processor to carry out steps including publishing predetermined content from said database.

Claim 88. (New) A computer-implemented method for managing and reviewing documents, said method comprising:

providing a submission module to enable a submitter to provide a submission for publication consideration to the system, said submission module being adapted to upload text and graphics, accept flexible submission file formats, produce at least one selectable output format of said submission, produce complete proofs of said submission for user approval, shield an identity of at least one reviewer from said submitter of said submission, capture data to facilitate a peer review process, enable immediate review of said submission, display submission status at any time, and generate automatic email correspondence;

providing an administrative module to enable administration of the system, said administrative module being adapted to search a database and display results, track said submission, track author data, track reviewer and chairperson data and performance, track decisions, automatically notify late reviewers/chairpersons, grant or change user permissions, and download said submission for editing and production;

providing a chair module to enable delegation of review and approval of the submission, said chair module being adapted to display full text and graphics for review of said submission, enter accept/reject/revise decisions into the database at any stage, search for and select reviewers

from the database, view reviewer history and current workload, invite reviewers to review all aspects of said submission, add comments/notes to said submission, and generate automatic email correspondence;

providing a review module to enable review of the submission, said review module being adapted to provide all aspects of said submission to said reviewer for peer review, provide a common score sheet specific to a meeting to an chairperson, and enable entry of accept/reject/revise recommendations for said submission into the database; and

providing a planning module to enable scheduling a presentation relating to content of said submission during said meeting.

Claim 89. (New) A computer program product comprising a computer useable medium including control logic stored therein, said control logic enabling management and review of documents, said control logic, when executed by a processor, causes the processor to carry out steps comprising:

providing a submission module to enable a submitter to provide a submission for publication consideration to the system, said submission module being adapted to upload text and graphics, accept flexible submission file formats, produce at least one selectable output format of said submission, produce complete proofs of said submission for user approval, shield an identity of at least one reviewer from said submitter of said submission, capture data to facilitate a peer review process, enable immediate review of said submission, display submission status at any time, and generate automatic email correspondence;

providing an administrative module to enable administration of the system, said administrative module being adapted to search a database and display results, track said submission, track author data, track reviewer and chairperson data and performance, track decisions, automatically notify late reviewers/chairpersons, grant or change user permissions, and download said submission for editing and production;

providing a chair module to enable delegation of review and approval of the submission, said chair module being adapted to display full text and graphics for review of said submission, enter accept/reject/revise decisions into the database at any stage, search for and select reviewers

from the database, view reviewer history and current workload, invite reviewers to review all aspects of said submission, add comments/notes to said submission, and generate automatic email correspondence;

providing a review module to enable review of all aspects of the submission, said review module being adapted to provide all aspects of said submission to said reviewer for peer review, provide a common score sheet specific to a meeting to an chairperson, and enable entry of accept/reject/revise recommendations for said submission into the database; and

providing a planning module to enable scheduling a presentation content of relating to said submission during said meeting.

Claim 90. (New) A system for managing and reviewing documents, said system comprising:

a processor;

a memory; and

a database,

wherein said memory carries thereon managing and reviewing software, which, when executed by the processor, causes the processor to carry out steps comprising:

providing a submission module to enable a submitter to provide a submission to the system, said submission module being adapted to upload text and graphics, accept flexible submission file formats, produce at least one selectable output format of said submission, produce complete proofs of said submission for user approval, shield an identity of at least one reviewer from said submitter of said submission, capture data to facilitate a peer review process, enable immediate review of said submission, display submission status at any time, and generate automatic email correspondence;

providing an administrative module to enable administration of the system, said administrative module being adapted to search the database and display results, track said submission, track author data, track reviewer/chairperson data and performance, track decisions, automatically notify late reviewers/chairpersons, grant or change user permissions, and download said submission for editing and production;

providing a chair module to enable delegation of review and approval of the submission, said chair module being adapted to display full text and graphics for review of said submission,

enter accept/reject/revise decisions into the database at any stage, search for and select reviewers from the database, view reviewer history and current workload, invite reviewers to review all aspects of said submission, add comments/notes to said submission, and generate automatic email correspondence;

providing a review module to enable review of all aspects of the submission, said review module being adapted to provide all aspects of said submission to said reviewer for peer review, provide a common score sheet specific to a meeting to an chairperson, and enable entry of accept/reject/revise recommendations for said submission into the database; and

providing a planning module to enable scheduling a presentation relating to content of said submission during said meeting.

Claim 91. (New) The method of claim 88, further comprising enabling receipt of said submission in a plurality of different formats.

Claim 92. (New) The method of claim 88, wherein the review module further comprises enabling multiple reviewers to review all aspects of said submission simultaneously.

Claim 93. (New) The computer program product of claim 89, further comprising enabling receipt of said submission in a plurality of different formats.

Claim 94. (New) The computer program product of claim 89, wherein the review module further comprises enabling multiple reviewers to review all aspects of said submission simultaneously.

Claim 95. (New) The system of claim 90, further comprising enabling receipt of said submission in a plurality of different formats.

Claim 96. (New) The system of claim 90, wherein the review module further comprises enabling multiple reviewers to review all aspects of said submission simultaneously.

Claim 97. (New) The method of claim 88, further comprising shielding an identity of said author from at least one reviewer.

Docket No.: 35672-164254